

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/486,558	04/13/00	MAUBRU		М	05725.0555	
- FINNEGAN HE GARRETT & D		IM51/0928 ABOW	٦	EINSMAN	EXAMINER	
1300 I STRE WASHINGTON	ET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				DATE MAILED:	09/28/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

5/ 6	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/486,558	MAUBRU ET AL.					
Authory Author	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Margaret Einsmann	1751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 9/24/01 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
<ul><li>(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>20-47</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exam	niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:		Mar	quet linon				
			EINSMANN				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

DRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not persuasive. In response to applicant's allegation that the examiner did not address the argument that Kalopissis teaches away from the proposed combination, the examiner does not see where Kalopissis teaches away from adding known oxidation dye bases to the compositions. See col 3 lines 67 to col 3 line 22 wherein patentee, especially "d they can contain several oxidation bases' Combined with the express teachings of Claussen, this office maintains that a prima facie case of obviousness has been established.

MARGARET EINSMANN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100